1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 No. C 06-07706 TEH (WDB) TIE-JIN SHEN, et al., 10 Plaintiffs, 11 REQUEST TO CONTINUE 12 v. 13 LUCY'S ASIA BISTRO, et al. 14 Defendants. 15 16 On July 8, 2009, the Undersigned held a telephonic hearing on Plaintiffs' 17 Motion to Compel Attendance at Deposition. The Clerk's Notice setting the hearing 18 instructed counsel for the moving party to initiate the conference call and then 19 telephone the Court at the appointed time. After a ten-minute delay, counsel for 20 Defendants appeared by telephone, with no appearance for Plaintiffs. Counsel for 21 Plaintiffs had not informed the Court or opposing counsel of any reason for his 22 inability to appear at the hearing. The Court denied Plaintiffs' motion to compel 23 without prejudice to re-noticing the motion. 24 After the conclusion of the hearing and the Court's ruling on the motion, 25 Plaintiffs' counsel called the Undersigned's law clerk to state he had been delayed and 26 inquired about the motion. He thereafter filed a Request to Continue the Ruling on 27 Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Depositions. Doc. no. 103. 28

Plaintiffs' Request to Continue the Ruling on Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel is DENIED as moot. Plaintiffs may re-notice the motion to compel following the three-week period they propose "to see if any bankruptcy petitions would be filed by Defendants Lucia Liao and Margarette Ting." Dated: July 9, 2009 United States Magistrate Judge